



Experimental astrobiology in the laboratory

¹Praveen Pandey, ^{2,3}Florin-Dumitru Bora

¹ Division of Plant Breeding and Genetic Resource Conservation, CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow, India; ² Viticulture and Oenology Department, Faculty of Horticulture and Business in Rural Development, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca, Romania;

³ Laboratory of Chromatography, Advanced Horticultural Research Institute of Transylvania, Faculty of Horticulture and Business for Rural Development, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Cluj-Napoca, Romania. Corresponding author: F. D. Bora, florin-dumitru.bora@usamvcluj.ro

Abstract. Experimental astrobiology relies on laboratory simulation chambers to expose biological and chemical systems to controlled extraterrestrial analog conditions, including vacuum, ultraviolet irradiation, temperature extremes, pressure, and atmospheric composition. These facilities enable systematic isolation of individual stressors or selected combinations, thereby revealing causal mechanisms that remain inaccessible in the limited sample sizes and short-duration exposures of spaceflight experiments. Modern chambers integrate in situ spectroscopic and mass-spectrometric diagnostics, allowing real-time tracking of physicochemical and biological responses under Mars-, Titan-, Europa-, or interplanetary-space-relevant regimes. Biological assays demonstrate that certain extremophiles and lichens can tolerate partial analogs of Martian or low-Earth-orbit conditions, particularly when shielded by regolith or salts, whereas synergistic combinations of vacuum, UV, and thermal stress often produce far greater lethality than single-factor exposures. Chemical simulations successfully generate complex organics, including amino-acid and nucleobase precursors, from simple ices under vacuum-UV irradiation. Nevertheless, ground-based platforms cannot simultaneously reproduce the full coupled complexity of photon flux, ionizing particles, microgravity, long-term orbital history, and planetary-scale heterogeneity. Consequently, laboratory simulations remain indispensable complementary tools rather than complete substitutes for orbital or planetary exposure platforms. This mini-review synthesizes the capabilities, scientific yields, and irreducible limitations of current experimental approaches and highlights the continuing necessity of integrated laboratory-space research strategies.

Keywords: planetary simulation chambers, vacuum exposure, ultraviolet irradiation, microbial survival, prebiotic chemistry, space analog environments, synergistic stressors.

Introduction. Experimental astrobiology uses laboratory simulation to expose biological and chemical systems to controlled extraterrestrial analog conditions, especially vacuum, UV irradiation, temperature, pressure, and atmospheric composition, because spaceflight experiments alone are too limited in access, sample number, and mechanistic resolution (Rabbow et al., 2005; Rabbow et al., 2016). Across the literature, the strongest consensus is that simulation chambers are indispensable for astrobiology, but they reproduce selected parameters or selected combinations, not the full extraterrestrial environment in all of its spatial, temporal, and coupled complexity (Mateo-Martí, 2014; Cottin et al., 2017).

Purpose of the Mini-review. The purpose of this mini-review is to evaluate the current state of laboratory-based experimental astrobiology, with particular emphasis on the design, operational capabilities, and scientific contributions of planetary and space simulation facilities. It aims to clarify which extraterrestrial environmental parameters can be reproduced with high fidelity, which biological and chemical processes can be meaningfully interrogated under controlled conditions, and where the inherent limitations of ground-based platforms necessitate complementary spaceflight experiments. By synthesizing findings across microbial survival assays, shielding studies, thermal and

atmospheric simulations, and prebiotic ice chemistry, the review seeks to provide a balanced assessment of the strengths and constraints of experimental approaches and to identify priority directions for future integrated laboratory-space research.

Simulation Platforms. Modern planetary and space simulation facilities are built around temperature-controlled vacuum systems with programmable gas composition, pressure, and irradiation, allowing researchers to mimic many surface or near-surface conditions relevant to Mars, Titan, Europa, interplanetary space, and interstellar ice chemistry (Mateo-Martí, 2014; Mateo-Martí et al., 2019; Ramachandran et al., 2020). These facilities are increasingly coupled to in situ diagnostics such as infrared, Raman, ultraviolet spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, and real-time environmental monitoring, which makes them useful not only for survival assays but also for tracking physicochemical change during exposure (Mateo-Martí, 2014; Mateo-Martí et al., 2019; Ramachandran et al., 2020; Schultz et al., 2023).

A major strength of these chambers is experimental decomposition: they can apply vacuum, UV, temperature, and atmospheric constraints individually or in chosen combinations, which is essential for distinguishing causal effects that are inseparable in flight experiments (Rabbow et al., 2005; Mateo-Martí, 2014; Rabbow et al., 2016). This same strength is also a limitation, because extraterrestrial environments are not merely lists of variables but dynamically coupled systems involving photon flux, ionizing particles, dust, shielding geometry, gravity, hydration state, and long-duration exposure histories that ground chambers usually simplify (Carota et al., 2015; Cottin et al., 2017; Higgins & Cockell, 2020; Păpuc, 2023; Petre & Pandey, 2026).

Reproducible Parameters. Vacuum is among the most reproducible extraterrestrial variables in the laboratory, and several facilities reach high-vacuum or Mars-relevant low-pressure ranges suitable for dehydration, outgassing, and biological survival studies (Rabbow et al., 2005; Ott et al., 2019; Ramachandran et al., 2020). In simulated low Earth orbit vacuum, dried *Deinococcus radiodurans* survived 90 days, although survival was 2.5-fold lower than controls and recovery required extensive oxidative-stress, repair, and metabolic responses, showing that vacuum is both experimentally tractable and biologically damaging (Ott et al., 2019).

Ultraviolet irradiation is also reproducible with high precision when calibrated sources deliver selected wavelength bands from vacuum-UV to UVA, or polychromatic spectra approximating solar exposure (Rabbow et al., 2005; Rabbow et al., 2016; Ramachandran et al., 2020). UV is not just one stressor among many; it is repeatedly identified as one of the most deleterious components of exposed space or planetary surface conditions, especially for unshielded DNA-containing systems (Horneck, 1999; Cottin et al., 2017; Mateo-Martí et al., 2019).

Thermal control and thermal cycling are likewise well reproduced across a broad range, with chambers operating from cryogenic to high-temperature regimes and, in some cases, simulating Martian diurnal or seasonal variation in temperature and humidity (Hashimoto et al., 2002; Cottin et al., 2017; Ramachandran et al., 2020). What these experiments capture well is the direct effect of temperature on reaction rates, maintenance costs, survival, and phase behavior; for example, a bioenergetic model calibrated to laboratory methanogen data predicted that raising temperature from 280 to 330 K increased peak growth rate but sharply reduced final biomass and methane yield because maintenance costs rise with temperature (Higgins & Cockell, 2020).

Biological and Chemical Findings. Biological simulations show that some extremophiles and stress-tolerant organisms can survive exposures that approximate Mars or open-space analog conditions, but survival depends strongly on exposure geometry, shielding, hydration state, and parameter combination (Cottin et al., 2017; Mateo-Martí et al., 2019; Bora et al., 2025). In lichen experiments, neither simulated Martian atmosphere plus UV combinations nor low-Earth-orbit vacuum caused a significant decrease in activity after 120 h, even for unprotected thalli exposed to unfiltered solar UV analogs (Mateo-Martí et al., 2019). By contrast, experiments with *Bacillus* spores under combined vacuum, solar

heating, and UV found strong synergistic lethality, with the harshest biocidal effects under simultaneous exposure, demonstrating that single-factor tests can underestimate real environmental damage (Schuerger, 2024).

Shielding experiments are especially informative because they connect survival to realistic planetary microhabitats rather than bare-surface exposure alone. A thin layer of Mars regolith analogue substantially reduced radiation dose, and habitability increased considerably under only a few millimeters of regolith protection (Mateo-Martí, 2014). This is one area where chamber studies are highly realistic: they can reproduce microenvironmental protection by minerals, salts, or regolith more faithfully than they can reproduce full planetary landscapes (Cottin et al., 2017; Ramachandran et al., 2020).

Chemical simulations are equally central to experimental astrobiology. Vacuum-UV irradiation of simple ices containing H₂O, CO, CO₂, CH₃OH, and NH₃ produces complex organics, including amino acids and nucleobases or their precursors, under astrophysically relevant low-temperature conditions (Hashimoto et al., 2002; Nuevo, 2012). Reviews of space prebiotic chemistry further emphasize that heat, electromagnetic radiation, energetic particles, and radioactive decay interact continuously with simple precursors across dust, comets, meteorites, and protoplanetary settings, but that the full reaction network is extremely complex and necessarily incomplete in present simulations (Carota et al., 2015) (Table 1).

Table 1

Capabilities and limits of extraterrestrial environment simulations

<i>System type</i>	<i>What laboratories reproduce well</i>	<i>What remains only partly realistic</i>	<i>Representative use</i>
Vacuum chambers	Low pressure, dehydration, outgassing, controlled residual gases	Long-duration open-space coupling with all flight factors simultaneously	Microbial survival, material testing (Ramachandran et al., 2020)
UV exposure systems	Selected wavelength bands, calibrated fluence, polychromatic UV	Exact solar spectrum with concurrent particle radiation and orbital history	DNA damage, photochemistry, polymer degradation (Rabbow et al., 2005)
Thermal systems	Fixed temperatures, cryogenic states, thermal cycles	Planet-scale heat transport, dust-driven variability, natural gradients	Diurnal Mars analogs, ice chemistry (Ramachandran et al., 2020)
Atmosphere simulators	Gas composition, pressure, humidity control	Full atmospheric dynamics, trace radical chemistry at planetary scale	Mars near-surface habitability, sensor calibration (Mateo-Martí, 2014)
Prebiotic chemistry setups	Ice irradiation, mineral surfaces, controlled precursors	Complete environmental messiness and planetary-scale interference chemistry	Abiotic synthesis of organics (Nuevo, 2012; Walton et al., 2022).

What Cannot Be Realistically Reproduced. The most important irreducible limitation is that full extraterrestrial complexity cannot be recreated on Earth in a single experiment. Reviews of astrobiological exposure hardware state explicitly that the whole combination of space factors cannot be reproduced simultaneously in ground controls, and that only dedicated space experiments provide the complete coupling of photon radiation, energetic particles, vacuum, thermal history, and microgravity (Cottin et al., 2017). Ground facilities therefore remain complementary to, not substitutes for, orbital or planetary exposure platforms (Rabbow et al., 2005; Rabbow et al., 2016).

A second limit is scale and heterogeneity. Chambers usually simulate a bounded, well-monitored microenvironment, whereas real planets and small bodies contain gradients in mineralogy, porosity, brine chemistry, radiation shielding, and transient water activity that vary across depth and time (Higgins & Cockell, 2020; Walton et al., 2022; Schultz et al., 2023). This is why interference chemistry has emerged as a useful framework: prebiotic

pathways that work under ideal laboratory conditions may fail, change yield, or be redirected in natural settings that are chemically messier than standard simulation protocols (Walton et al., 2022).

A third limit concerns active living systems. Endurance experiments with dried cells are comparatively accessible, but experiments on growth, evolution, and metabolism under sustained extraterrestrial conditions require liquid water, nutrients, dissolved gases, and in situ process measurements, which are much harder to maintain realistically in either ground chambers or short-duration flight hardware (Cottin et al., 2017; Higgins & Cockell, 2020). The same problem appears in human analog research: even advanced simulators can reproduce operational constraints or selected physical loads, but not the full pressurized, radiative, and gravitational environment of actual exploration systems (Groemer et al., 2012) (Table 2).

Table 2

Scientific scope of major astrobiology simulation approaches

<i>Experimental domain</i>	<i>Strongest realism</i>	<i>Main scientific value</i>	<i>Key limitation</i>
Microbial exposure	Vacuum, UV, desiccation, shielding tests	Survival mechanisms and planetary protection (Horneck, 1999)	Incomplete coupling of all space factors (Cottin et al., 2017)
Planetary surface analogs	Mars-like pressure, gas composition, temperature, humidity	Habitability and instrument validation (Ramachandran et al., 2020)	Limited geological and atmospheric heterogeneity (Ramachandran et al., 2020)
Astrochemical ice experiments	Low temperature, vacuum, VUV photolysis	Abiotic synthesis pathways for complex organics (Nuevo, 2012; Hashimoto et al., 2002)	Uncertain extrapolation to natural abundances and histories (Nuevo, 2012; Carota et al., 2015)
Model-integrated simulations	Parameter sweeps for energy, nutrients, temperature	Predict biomass and biosignatures in undercharacterized settings (Higgins & Cockell, 2020)	Sensitive to sparse environmental assumptions (Higgins & Cockell, 2020; Walton et al., 2022)
True space exposure	Full coupled space environment	Benchmark for validating laboratory analogs (Cottin et al., 2017)	Limited access, payload, and mechanistic sampling (Rabbow et al., 2005; Rabbow et al., 2016).

Conclusions. Laboratory simulation remains a cornerstone of experimental astrobiology because it permits controlled, reproducible, and mechanistically resolved interrogation of extraterrestrial stressors that cannot be achieved at comparable scale or precision in spaceflight experiments alone. Vacuum, calibrated ultraviolet irradiation, thermal cycling, and atmospheric composition can be applied individually or in selected combinations, enabling the isolation of synergistic effects and the systematic evaluation of shielding by regolith, salts, or mineral matrices. Biological results consistently show that survival is highly dependent on exposure geometry, hydration state, and parameter interactions, while chemical simulations continue to demonstrate the abiotic synthesis of complex organics under astrophysically relevant conditions.

At the same time, the most fundamental limitation is irreducible: no ground-based chamber can simultaneously reproduce the full, dynamically coupled suite of photon radiation, energetic particles, vacuum, thermal history, microgravity, and long-duration environmental evolution that characterizes actual space or planetary surface settings. Scale, mineralogical heterogeneity, transient water activity, and interference chemistry further constrain the extrapolation of laboratory outcomes to natural environments.

Experiments involving metabolically active or evolving systems remain especially challenging because of the difficulty of maintaining realistic nutrient, gas, and liquid-water regimes over extended periods.

These complementary strengths and limitations imply that laboratory platforms and space-exposure experiments must continue to function as mutually reinforcing components of a single research strategy. Future progress will depend on closer integration of advanced in situ diagnostics, multi-parameter synergistic protocols, improved analog materials that capture planetary heterogeneity, and iterative validation against orbital and planetary mission data. Only through such coordinated approaches can experimental astrobiology reliably inform habitability assessments, planetary-protection protocols, and the search for biosignatures beyond Earth.

Acknowledgements. An AI-powered search engine for research - <https://consensus.app> - Consensus NLP, Inc - was used to summarize data in tables. The authors assume full responsibility for the content and the materials selected and processed for publication.

Authors Contributions. FDB wrote the manuscript; PP read and revised the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data Availability. Not applicable.

Funding. This research received no external funding.

References

- Bora, F. D., Rusu, T., Popescu, M., Coroian, C. O., & Petrescu-Mag, I. V. (2025). Extremotolerant pioneer species for cold, arid, oligotrophic, low-pressure environments. *ELBA Bioflux*, 17(1), 15-21.
- Carota, E., Botta, G., Rotelli, L., Mauro, E., & Saladino, R. (2015). Current advances in prebiotic chemistry under space conditions. *Current Organic Chemistry*, 19, 1963-1979. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1385272819666150622175143>
- Cottin, H., Kotler, J. M., Billi, D., Cockell, C. S., Demets, R., Ehrenfreund, P., et al. (2017). Space as a tool for astrobiology: review and recommendations for experimentations in Earth orbit and beyond. *Space Science Reviews*, 209, 83-181. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-017-0365-5>
- Groemer, G., Hauth, S., Luger, U., Bickert, K., Sattler, B., Hauth, E., Föger, D., Schildhammer, D., Agerer, C., Ragonig, C., Sams, S., Kaineder, F., & Knoflach, M. (2012). The Aouda. X space suit simulator and its applications to astrobiology. *Astrobiology*, 12(2), 125-134. <https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2011.0673>
- Hashimoto, H., Ushio, K., Kaneko, T., Kobayashi, K., Greenberg, J. M., Yamashita, M., Brack, A., Colangeli, L., Horneck, G., Ishikawa, Y., Kouchi, A., Navarro-González, R., Oshima, T., Raulin, F., & Saito, T. (2002). Formation of prebiotic organics in space: Its simulation on ground and conceptual design of space experiment in Earth orbit. *Advances in Space Research*, 30(6), 1495-1500. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177\(02\)00513-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0273-1177(02)00513-6)
- Higgins, P. M., & Cockell, C. S. (2020). A bioenergetic model to predict habitability, biomass and biosignatures in astrobiology and extreme conditions. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 17(170), Article 20200588. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2020.0588>
- Horneck, G. (1999). Astrobiology studies of microbes in simulated interplanetary space. In J. Seckbach (Ed.), *Enigmatic microorganisms and life in extreme environments* (pp. 667-685). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-4728-6_26
- Mateo-Martí, E. (2014). Planetary atmosphere and surfaces chamber (PASC): a platform to address various challenges in astrobiology. *Challenges*, 5(2), 213-223. <https://doi.org/10.3390/challe5020213>

- Mateo-Martí, E., Prieto-Ballesteros, O., Muñoz Caro, G. M., González-Díaz, C., Muñoz-Iglesias, V., & Gálvez-Martínez, S. (2019). Characterizing interstellar medium, planetary surface and deep environments by spectroscopic techniques using unique simulation chambers at Centro de Astrobiología (CAB). *Life*, 9(3), 72. <https://doi.org/10.3390/life9030072>
- Nuevo, M. (2012). Laboratory astrochemistry: A powerful tool to understand the origin of organic molecules in the interstellar medium, comets, and meteorites. *Proceedings of Science (NIC XII)*, 149, 004. <https://doi.org/10.22323/1.149.0004>
- Ott, E., Kawaguchi, Y., Özgen, N., Yamagishi, A., Rabbow, E., Rettberg, P., Weckwerth, W., & Milojevic, T. (2019). Proteomic and metabolomic profiling of *Deinococcus radiodurans* recovering after exposure to simulated low Earth orbit vacuum conditions. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, 909. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00909>
- Păpuc, T. (2023). Into the void. *ELBA Bioflux*, 15(1), 31-32.
- Petre, A. M., & Pandey, P. (2026). Effects of space radiation on cereal seeds. *ELBA Bioflux*, 18(1), 12-17.
- Rabbow, E., Parpart, A., & Reitz, G. (2016). The planetary and space simulation facilities at DLR Cologne. *Microgravity Science and Technology*, 28(3), 215-229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12217-015-9448-7>
- Rabbow, E., Rettberg, P., Panitz, C., Drescher, J., Horneck, G., & Reitz, G. (2005). SSIOMUX—Space simulation for investigating organics, evolution and exobiology. *Advances in Space Research*, 36(2), 297-302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.08.040>
- Ramachandran, A. V., Nazarious, M., Mathanlal, T., Zorzano, M.-P., & Martín-Torres, J. (2020). Space environmental chamber for planetary studies. *Sensors*, 20(14), 3996. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20143996>
- Schuerger, A. C. (2024). Synergistic interactions among vacuum, solar heating, and UV irradiation enhance the lethality of interplanetary space. *Microorganisms*, 12(10), 1976. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12101976>
- Schultz, J., Santos, A. D., Patel, N., & Rosado, A. S. (2023). Life on the edge: Bioprospecting extremophiles for astrobiology. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science*, 103(3), 721-737. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41745-023-00382-9>
- Walton, C. R., Rimmer, P. B., & Shorttle, O. (2022). Can prebiotic systems survive in the wild? An interference chemistry approach. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, 10, 1011717. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2022.1011717>

Received: 03 July 2026. Accepted: 05 August 2026. Published online: 07 August 2026.

Authors:

Praveen Pandey (PP), Division of Plant Breeding and Genetic Resource Conservation, CSIR - Central Institute of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants, Lucknow-226015, India, e-mail: pandeypraveen1986@yahoo.com
 Florin-Dumitru Bora (FDB), Laboratory of Chromatography, Advanced Horticultural Research Institute of Transylvania, Faculty of Horticulture and Business for Rural Development, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, 3-5 Calea Mănăştur Street, 400372 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: florin-dumitru.bora@usamvcluj.ro

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

How to cite this article:

Pandey, P., & Bora, F. D. (2026). Experimental astrobiology in the laboratory. *ELBA Bioflux*, 18(1), 39-44.